	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	09/668,974	HELMAN, DANIEL	HELMAN, DANIEL RICHARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tom Y Lu	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is	in this application. If not include nunication will be mailed in due	led course. THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed	on 6/21/2004.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-20 and 28-30.				
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>25 September 2000</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.			
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	been received. been received in Application	ion No ed in this national stage applica		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	ENT of this application.			
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminsformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			NOTICE OF	
6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the sheet in the sheet in the sheet is should be labeled as such in the sheet in the sheet is should be labeled as such in the sheet in the sheet is should be labeled as such in the sheet in the sheet is should be labeled as such in the sheet in the sheet in the sheet is should be labeled as such in the sheet in the shee	on's Patent Drawing Revies Amendment / Comment of 84(c)) should be written on	or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not th	e back) of	
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No	nformal Patent Application (PT Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment	O-152)	
Paper No./Mail Date	•	8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/668,974

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment and written response filed on June 21, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 18, 22 and 30 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, page 8-9, filed on June 21, 2004, with respect to Claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claim 1 has been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 5. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 6. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Robert Crawford, Reg. No. 32,122 on September 17, 2004.
- 7. The application has been amended as follows:

Cancel claims 21-27.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-20 and 28-30 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 2621

- a. Independent Claims 1 and 20 define steps of encoding with one or more quantization levels in a second range of quantization levels in another frame that follows the previous frame, blocks that correspond to blocks bypassed for encoding in previous frame; and by passing encoding of blocks of the other frame that correspond to the selected block of the previous frame. These feature steps in combination with other steps in claims 1 and 20, which are the broadest allowable claims, are not taught or suggested by the art of record.
- b. Claims 2-5 are dependent upon Claim 1.
- c. Independent Claim 2 defines steps of encoding with levels from a second range of quantization levels in a frame that follows the previous sequence of frames, blocks that correspond to the second subset of blocks; and bypassing encoding of blocks of the frame that follows the previous sequence of frames that do not correspond to the second subset of blocks. These feature steps in combination with other steps in Claim 6, which is the broadest allowable claim, are not taught or suggested by the art of record.
- d. Claims 7-15 are dependent upon Claim 6.
- levels in a frame that follows the sequence of frames, blocks that correspond to the second subset of blocks; and bypassing encoding of blocks of the frames that follows the sequence of frames that do not correspond to the second subset of blocks. These features steps in combination with other steps in Claim 16, which is the broadest allowable claim, are not taught or suggested by the art of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,974

record.

Art Unit: 2621

f. Claims 17-19 are dependent upon Claim 16.

g. Independent Claim 28 defines steps of encoding with one or more quantization levels in a second range of quantization levels in another segment having image data that temporally follows image data of the previous segment, portions that correspond to bypassed portions of the previous segment; and bypassing encoding of portions of the other segment that correspond to portions encoded in the previous segment. These feature steps in combination with other steps in Claim 28, which is the broadest allowable claim, are not taught or suggested by the art of

9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Owada et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,040,060, see abstract and figures 7 and 9.
 - b. Mita et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,543,844, see column 10.
 - c. Reitmeier et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,084,912, see figure 2.
 - d. Rosenberg, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,392, see figure 1.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/668,974

Art Unit: 2621

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Tom Y Lu whose telephone number is (703) 306-4057. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo H Boudreau can be reached on (703) 305-4706. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tom Y. Lu

`LEO BOUDREAU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600